Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/725,013	SEHGAL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian Whiteman	1635	

All Participants:

(1) *Brian Whiteman*.

(2) *PinWang*.

Status of Application: *After-Final*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *29 September 2006*

Time: *2:00 pm*

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted applicant's representative that the label Fig. 2 on figure 2 was mispelled. To expedite prosecution the examiner requested a marked-up copy (annotated) and a clean copy (replacement) of Figure 2.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)